

**BRIEF COMMUNICATION**

Risk Factors for Falls in Patients with *de novo* Parkinson's Disease: A Focus on Motor and Non-Motor Symptoms

Kyum-Yil Kwon, Mina Lee, Hyunjin Ju, Kayeong Im

Department of Neurology, Soonchunhyang University Seoul Hospital, Soonchunhyang University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

ABSTRACT**Objective** We aimed to identify risk factors for falls in patients with *de novo* Parkinson's disease (PD).**Methods** Forty-six patients with *de novo* PD were retrospectively included in the study. We assessed details on the patients' motor symptoms as well as non-motor symptoms using several representative scales for global cognition, depression, fatigue, and dysautonomia. Fallers and non-fallers were identified according to their history of falls during the preceding year.**Results** Twenty-two patients (45.8%) with *de novo* PD had a history of falls. Compared with the non-faller group, the faller group exhibited higher scores for postural instability/gait difficulty (PIGD), anxiety, fatigue, total dysautonomia, gastrointestinal dysfunction, and thermoregulatory dysfunction. Moreover, logistic regression analysis showed that falling was positively correlated with anxiety and gastrointestinal symptoms but negatively associated with the tremor scores.**Conclusion** Our findings suggest that falling in patients with *de novo* PD is significantly associated with PIGD/non-tremor symptoms, anxiety, and gastrointestinal dysfunction.**Key Words** Fall; Risk factor; *De novo*; Parkinson's disease; Motor; Non-motor.

Falls are common and can decrease the quality of life of patients with Parkinson's disease (PD). Accordingly, many researchers have studied methods of preventing or treating falls in PD patients. According to a review article, the proportion of patients with PD who have experienced at least one fall event was reported to be 35–90% (mean, 60.5%).¹ Another review article revealed that the incidence of recurrent falls ranged from 18% to 65% (mean, 39%) in patients with PD.² Many factors, including an older age; severe motor symptoms; and gait/axial impairments, such as gait freezing, impaired cognition, a history of falls, a fear of falling, and a low health-related quality of life, have also been shown to be associated with falls in PD patients.^{3,4} However, little is known about the risk factors for falls in individuals in the early stages of PD since the majority of studies on

falls have been conducted in individuals in the relatively advanced stages of PD.

We were interested in the fall risk factors for patients with early stages of PD. Therefore, in this pilot study, we aimed to investigate motor and non-motor symptoms associated with falling in drug-naïve patients with *de novo* PD. Our findings may facilitate clinicians who treat patients with *de novo* PD identify groups at high risk for falls.

MATERIALS & METHODS**Participants**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our institution (#2019-12-012). We carefully reviewed all the

Received: February 1, 2020 Revised: March 7, 2020 Accepted: April 3, 2020

Corresponding author: Kyum-Yil Kwon, MD, PhD

Department of Neurology, Soonchunhyang University Seoul Hospital, 59 Daesagwan-ro, Yongsan-gu, Seoul 04401, Korea / Tel: +82-2-709-9026 /

Fax: +82-2-709-9226 / E-mail: denovo78@naver.com

© This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

medical charts of patients first evaluated for parkinsonism in our movement disorder clinics between July 2017 and October 2019 and identified eligible patients with *de novo* PD according to the UK Brain Bank criteria.⁵ Only patients who underwent both brain MRI and dopamine transporter imaging (DAT) were initially evaluated, and those with other parkinsonian disorders were excluded. Accordingly, strict inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied since this study was retrospectively designed. Patients showing not only typical striatal dopamine depletion with a rostrocaudal gradient in the putamen, as identified by DAT,⁶ but also typical clinical characteristics of PD and presenting with levodopa-responsive asymmetric parkinsonism were included. Patients exhibiting atypical findings, including putaminal abnormalities, cerebellar atrophy, middle cerebellar hyperintensity, the hot cross bun sign, hydrocephalus, or stroke-related lesions in the MRI findings, were excluded. Moreover, patients with atypical clinical features, including poor levodopa responsiveness, frequent falls, supranuclear gaze palsy, orthostatic hypotension, urinary incontinence, or significant cognitive impairment, were excluded. Out of a total of 56 patients, eight were excluded after the screening step. Two patients had normal pressure hydrocephalus, three had multiple system atrophy, one had progressive supranuclear palsy, one had drug-induced parkinsonism, and one had senile parkinsonism. Finally, 48 patients with *de novo* PD were included in the study.

Clinical assessments

When each patient was initially admitted to our movement disorder clinic, his or her history of falls within the last year was recorded. A meta-analysis revealed that previous falls over a period of 12 months were recorded in the majority (50%) of studies in PD patients,⁴ although the length of the period varied across studies (i.e., 3, 6, and 12 mo). A fall event was defined as when a person unexpectedly lost his or her balance and inadvertently rested on the ground, floor, or other low surface. We recorded the number of falls that each patient experienced during the preceding year. If a patient with PD answered ‘no,’ the patient was categorized as a non-faller. If any patient with PD reported experiencing one or more falls, then the patient was categorized as a faller for this study.⁷ The baseline demographics and clinical characteristics, including motor and non-motor symptoms, were assessed. The total motor score was defined as the sum of the part III scores for the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS). In addition, we calculated the subscores for tremor (the sum of the scores for UPDRS part III, items 3–9), rigidity (the sum of the scores for UPDRS part III, items 10–14), bradykinesia (the sum of the scores for UPDRS part III, items 15–22 and 27), and postural instability/gait difficulty (PIGD; the sum of the scores for UPDRS part II, items 13–15 and UPDRS

part III items 25 and 26).^{8–10} In addition, the Hoehn and Yahr (HY) stages were also assessed. Non-motor symptoms were evaluated by the Korean version of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA-K),¹¹ the Korean version of the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI),¹² the Korean version of the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI),¹³ the Parkinson’s Disease Fatigue Scale (PFS),¹⁴ and the Korean version of the Scale for Outcomes in Parkinson’s Disease-Autonomic Dysfunction (SCOPA-AUT).¹⁵

Statistical analysis

The fallers and non-fallers with *de novo* PD were compared using Student’s *t*-test for the numerical data and the chi-squared test for the categorical data. Univariable logistic regression analyses were used for the binary outcomes, and a subsequent multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed by backward stepwise selection. A *p*-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses in the study were performed using SPSS (version 20.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Table 1. Demographics and clinical characteristics of *de novo* parkinsonian patients with and without falls

	Non-faller (n = 26)	Faller (n = 22)	<i>p</i> value
Age, yr	71.8 ± 7.3	71.3 ± 11.4	0.834
Sex-male	13 (50.0)	8 (36.4)	0.343
Disease duration, yr	1.5 ± 1.1	1.3 ± 1.0	0.574
BMI, kg/m ²	23.1 ± 3.0	23.7 ± 3.1	0.462
Level of education, yr	8.9 ± 5.2	9.0 ± 5.5	0.927
UPDRS-III score (total motor)	26.1 ± 8.4	25.3 ± 9.5	0.759
Tremor score	3.7 ± 1.9	2.5 ± 2.5	0.076
Rigidity score	6.0 ± 2.5	4.9 ± 3.1	0.188
Bradykinesia score	11.4 ± 4.1	12.2 ± 4.6	0.545
PIGD score	2.4 ± 1.6	4.3 ± 3.7	0.040*
Hoehn and Yahr stage	2.2 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 0.4	0.150
MoCA-K (global cognition)	21.2 ± 4.7	20.8 ± 6.4	0.795
BDI (depression)	9.9 ± 7.4	11.3 ± 7.1	0.498
BAI (anxiety)	5.4 ± 4.5	9.5 ± 7.9	0.029*
PFS (fatigue)	38.0 ± 16.4	48.8 ± 13.8	0.019*
SCOPA-AUT (total dysautonomia)	11.4 ± 5.9	16.8 ± 9.4	0.024*
Gastrointestinal	2.9 ± 2.6	5.3 ± 4.1	0.020*
Urinary	6.1 ± 4.0	8.2 ± 4.8	0.107
Cardiovascular	0.6 ± 1.2	1.1 ± 1.7	0.194
Thermoregulatory	0.5 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 1.1	0.047*
Pupillomotor	0.2 ± 0.6	0.4 ± 1.3	0.465
Sexual	4.1 ± 1.9	2.6 ± 2.8	0.236

Data are *n* (%) or mean ± standard deviation values. **p* < 0.05. BAI: Beck Anxiety Inventory, BDI: Beck Depression Inventory, BMI: body-mass index, MoCA-K: Korean version of Montreal Cognitive Assessment, PD: Parkinson’s disease, PFS: Parkinson’s Disease Fatigue Scale, PIGD: postural instability and gait difficulty, SCOPA-AUT: Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson’s Disease-Autonomic Dysfunction, UPDRS-III: Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale part III.

Ethical standards

All procedures in the studies involving human participants were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1975 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The need to obtain informed consent was waived by the IRB of our institution since this study is a retrospective study.

RESULTS

Comparison of clinical features between fallers and non-fallers with *de novo* PD

A total of 26 non-fallers and 22 fallers with *de novo* PD, who were grouped according to their histories of falls, were included in the study. The demographic and clinical characteristics, including motor and non-motor symptoms, between fallers and non-fallers with *de novo* PD are displayed in Table 1. Compared to the non-fallers with *de novo* PD, the fallers with *de novo* PD showed higher scores for PIGD ($p = 0.040$), anxiety ($p = 0.029$), fatigue ($p = 0.019$), and total dysautonomia ($p = 0.024$), as well as gastrointestinal and thermoregulatory subscores for dysauto-

nomia ($p = 0.020$ and $p = 0.047$, respectively).

Risk factors for falls in patients with *de novo* PD

To identify the risk factors for falls in patients with *de novo* PD, we performed univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses, as presented in Table 2. In the right column in Table 2, adjusted odds ratios (adj ORs) and 95% confidential intervals (95% CIs) are shown for the variables with significant results. We found that lower tremor scores were associated with the non-falling group of *de novo* PD patients (adj OR = 0.656, 95% CI = 0.459–0.938), whereas higher scores for anxiety and gastrointestinal symptoms correlated with the falling group of *de novo* PD patients (adj OR = 1.286, 95% CI = 1.020–1.300; adj OR = 1.286, 95% CI = 1.026–1.613, respectively).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found a high rate of falls (48.5%) during the preceding year in patients with *de novo* PD. Previously, a few studies have reported that 23% to 42% of patients with early PD experienced falls.^{3,16} Moreover, a meta-analysis also revealed that fall frequency followed an inverted U-shaped curve in PD pa-

Table 2. Logistic regression analysis of falls in patients with *de novo* Parkinson's diseases

Variable	Univariable			Multivariable		
	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> value	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> value
Age, yr	0.993	0.934–1.056	0.830	-	-	-
Sex-male	0.571	0.179–1.823	0.344	-	-	-
Disease duration, yr	0.851	0.491–1.475	0.566	-	-	-
BMI, kg/m ²	1.076	0.888–1.304	0.455	-	-	-
Level of education, yr	1.005	0.901–1.122	0.925	-	-	-
UPDRS-III score (motor)	0.990	0.927–1.056	0.753	-	-	-
Tremor score	0.778	0.591–1.023	0.073	0.656	0.459–0.938	0.021*
Rigidity score	0.869	0.705–1.070	0.187	-	-	-
Bradykinesia score	1.043	0.912–1.194	0.537	-	-	-
PIGD score	1.300	1.009–1.676	0.043*	-	-	-
Hoehn and Yahr stage	0.310	0.061–1.563	0.156	-	-	-
MoCA-K (global cognition)	0.986	0.888–1.095	0.790	-	-	-
BDI (depression)	1.029	0.949–1.115	0.491	-	-	-
BAI (anxiety)	1.121	1.003–1.254	0.044*	1.286	1.020–1.300	0.022*
PFS (fatigue)	1.048	1.006–1.092	0.025*	-	-	-
SCOPA-AUT (total dysautonomia)	1.097	1.011–1.191	0.025*	-	-	-
Gastrointestinal	1.249	1.020–1.530	0.032*	1.286	1.026–1.613	0.029*
Urinary	1.119	0.974–1.284	0.112	-	-	-
Cardiovascular	1.320	0.857–2.034	0.208	-	-	-
Thermoregulatory	1.933	1.005–3.716	0.048*	-	-	-
Pupillomotor	1.271	0.654–2.471	0.480	-	-	-
Sexual	0.732	0.444–1.205	0.220	-	-	-

* $p < 0.05$. BAI: Beck Anxiety Inventory, BDI: Beck Depression Inventory, BMI: body-mass index, CI: confidence interval, MoCA-K: Korean version of Montreal Cognitive Assessment, OR: odds ratio, PFS: Parkinson's Disease Fatigue Scale, PIGD: postural instability and gait difficulty, SCOPA-AUT: Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease-Autonomic Dysfunction, UPDRS-III: Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale part III.

tients.⁴ Collectively, our results suggest that patients with very early stages of PD may commonly experience falls. Therefore, clinicians need to be concerned for not only patients with advanced stages of PD but also patients with early stages of PD.

We evaluated the differences in the clinical characteristics between the falling and non-falling groups of drug-naïve patients with *de novo* PD. For the motor symptoms, the fallers with *de novo* PD showed higher PIGD scores than did the non-fallers with *de novo* PD (Table 1), whereas lower tremor scores were negatively associated with falling in patients with *de novo* PD (Table 2). Consistent with our results, Pelicioni et al.¹⁷ showed that the PIGD subtype was related to falls compared to the non-PIGD subtype in PD patients. These findings suggest that patients with non-tremor-dominant PD might be at risk for falls. However, several non-motor symptoms, including anxiety, fatigue, total dysautonomia, gastrointestinal dysfunction, and thermoregulatory dysfunction, were associated with falls in patients with *de novo* PD (Table 1). Intriguingly, the logistic regression analyses revealed that only anxiety and gastrointestinal dysfunction were significantly related to falls in patients with *de novo* PD (Table 2). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report showing a relation between both anxiety and gastrointestinal dysfunction and falling in PD patients. However, the pathomechanisms of how anxiety or constipation might be associated with falls in patients with *de novo* PD remain unknown. Moreover, our results should be interpreted with caution since this study has a relatively small sample size. Accordingly, more well-designed studies with larger sample sizes need to be conducted to address this issue.

In summary, the present study showed that falling is related to PIGD/less tremors, anxiety, and gastrointestinal dysfunction in patients with *de novo* PD. Clinicians need to pay attention to patients at a high risk for falls in the very early stages of PD.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Soonchunhyang University Research Fund and the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Republic of Korea (No. NRF-2018R1C1B5045312).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Kyum-Yil Kwon. Data curation: Hyunjin Ju, Mina Lee, and Kayeong Im. Data analysis: all authors. Funding acquisition: Kyum-Yil Kwon. Investigation: all authors. Methodology: Hyunjin Ju, Mina Lee, and Kayeong Im. Project administration: Kyum-Yil Kwon. Resources: Kyum-Yil Kwon. Supervision: Kyum-Yil Kwon. Validation: Kyum-Yil Kwon. Data visualization: all authors. Writing—original draft: Kyum-Yil Kwon. Writing—review & editing: all authors.

ORCID iDs

Kyum-Yil Kwon <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5443-0952>
Mina Lee <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5971-3633>
Hyunjin Ju <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2930-8693>
Kayeong Im <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4786-096X>

REFERENCES

1. Thurman DJ, Stevens JA, Rao JK; Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Practice parameter: assessing patients in a neurology practice for risk of falls (an evidence-based review): report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* 2008;70:473-479.
2. Allen NE, Schwarzel AK, Canning CG. Recurrent falls in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. *Parkinsons Dis* 2013;2013:906274.
3. Chou KL, Elm JJ, Wielinski CL, Simon DK, Aminoff MJ, Christine CW, et al. Factors associated with falling in early, treated Parkinson's disease: the NET-PD LS1 cohort. *J Neurol Sci* 2017;377:137-143.
4. Pickering RM, Grimbergen YA, Rigney U, Ashburn A, Mazibrada G, Wood B, et al. A meta-analysis of six prospective studies of falling in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2007;22:1892-1900.
5. Gelb DJ, Oliver E, Gilman S. Diagnostic criteria for Parkinson disease. *Arch Neurol* 1999;56:33-39.
6. Oh M, Kim JS, Kim JY, Shin KH, Park SH, Kim HO, et al. Subregional patterns of preferential striatal dopamine transporter loss differ in Parkinson disease, progressive supranuclear palsy, and multiple-system atrophy. *J Nucl Med* 2012;53:399-406.
7. Matinoli M, Korpelainen JT, Korpelainen R, Sotaniemi KA, Virranniemi M, Myllylä VV. Postural sway and falls in Parkinson's disease: a regression approach. *Mov Disord* 2007;22:1927-1935.
8. Jankovic J, McDermott M, Carter J, Gauthier S, Goetz C, Golbe L, et al. Variable expression of Parkinson's disease: a base-line analysis of the DATATOP cohort. The Parkinson Study Group. *Neurology* 1990;40:1529-1534.
9. Kwon KY, Park S, Lee HM, Park YM, Kim J, Kim J, et al. Backward gait is associated with motor symptoms and fear of falling in patients with *de novo* Parkinson's disease. *J Clin Neurol* 2019;15:473-479.
10. Pirker W. Correlation of dopamine transporter imaging with parkinsonian motor handicap: how close is it? *Mov Disord* 2003;18 Suppl 7:S43-S51.
11. Lee JY, Lee DW, Cho SJ, Na DL, Jeon HJ, Kim SK, et al. Brief screening for mild cognitive impairment in elderly outpatient clinic: validation of the Korean version of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 2008;21:104-110.
12. Jo SA, Park MH, Jo I, Ryu SH, Han C. Usefulness of Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) in the Korean elderly population. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2007;22:218-223.
13. Lee K, Kim D, Cho Y. Exploratory factor analysis of the Beck Anxiety Inventory and the Beck Depression Inventory-II in a psychiatric outpatient population. *J Korean Med Sci* 2018;33:e128.
14. Brown RG, Dittner A, Findley L, Wessely SC. The Parkinson fatigue scale. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2005;11:49-55.
15. Kim JY, Song IU, Koh SB, Ahn TB, Kim SJ, Cheon SM, et al. Validation of the Korean version of the Scale for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease-Autonomic. *J Mov Disord* 2017;10:29-34.
16. Voss TS, Elm JJ, Wielinski CL, Aminoff MJ, Bandyopadhyay D, Chou KL, et al. Fall frequency and risk assessment in early Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2012;18:837-841.
17. Pelicioni PHS, Menant JC, Latt MD, Lord SR. Falls in Parkinson's disease subtypes: risk factors, locations and circumstances. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019;16:2216.